Dear Hon. Dr Smith,

Ref. Your letter dated 11 April 2013

Thank you for your letter with your opinions, i.e. we had a fair opportunity to express a point of view to the Board, that it did not agree with me and there is no justification for my over-the-top claims. I am pleased that you recognise that it does not agree with us, i.e. that natural justice has not been served.

In response to your opinions:
1. Firstly you claim we had a fair opportunity to express our point of view. We did not want our point of view to be expressed because points of view are subjective and mean nothing.
2. We were provided with an opportunity to express factual matters at the Draft stage but unfortunately these submissions were not read. The Board did not read our submissions on the Draft Decision, because errors of fact were carried into the Final Report.
3. In light of the above points your opinion should read, “we were given an opportunity to submit factual material but this was a farce because the submissions were not read and considered by the Board”.
4. Secondly, you claim there is no justification for my over-the-top claims. We are confused about this because we do not know what is over-the-top. We would appreciate you identifying the specific claims, which you obliquely referred to because we work from factual material and not opinions.

Yours sincerely

John Adams
(On behalf of the Adams and Huatau)

Cc. Attorney General, Hon. J.Collins, Hon.A.Adams