

Hon. C. Finlayson

Attorney General

26 August 2013

Dear Hon. C. Finlayson

Ref. Kenderdine, Chair of the Turitea Board of Inquiry

Do your responsibilities as Attorney-General include the granting of judicial warrants and their removal?

Did you grant extensions to and then the removal of Shonagh Kenderdine's judicial warrants?

Kenderdine was permanently removed from the judiciary on 6th August 2010. From that date she had no judicial authority whatsoever and was an ordinary citizen. Sir David Gascoigne, Judicial Conduct Commissioner, makes this perfectly plain. The proof is fully documented here.

<http://turiteadocuments.wordpress.com/turitea-wind-farm-documents/>

As Minister for Arts Culture and Heritage you then appointed Kenderdine as Chair of the Historic Places Trust, two weeks later, on 20 August 2010.

<http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/PA1008/S00314/new-historic-places-trust-chair-appointed.htm>

13 months later, Kenderdine, on your watch, fraudulently signed off as Environment Judge on the Turitea wind farm decision.

The Final Decision has the full force of an Environment Court decision and was only able to be challenged on a point of law within a very short time frame. People were misled into thinking that the decision had judicial independence and on this basis alone the decision can be labeled as fraudulent, not to mention a host of other failures and omissions including astonishing factual errors being carried into the final report.

The matter of Kenderdine's fraud and impersonation of a judge has subsequently been brought to your attention on a number of occasions without any response from you.

Does this mean that you are an accomplice to the fraud and support an unconstitutional act?

The size, scope and impact of the fraud are enormous considering the Turitea wind farm is in a residential area in Palmerston North City. There are approximately 2,000 residential properties in the immediate vicinity. Allowing for the superior quality of these properties relative to the city at large, and a heavily discounted average value of \$350,000 each, the minimum cumulative loss in value at a conservative 10%, to be borne by owners, is in the vicinity of \$70 million. In practice this amount will be exceeded, indeed many properties under the 60 forty story turbines, two substations and transmission towers will be unsalable.

Does the buck stop with you, or is it shared with the likes of Hon. Dr. Smith who commissioned the Board, which has manifestly failed to live up to its brief?

Why do you not consider Kenderdine's granting of the Turitea consent corrupt, invalid, unconstitutional and fraudulent?

Failure to do so undermines the Judiciary and makes a complete mockery of due process. There is a massive loss of public confidence.

Do you believe that Kenderdine has had your protection and that a very disturbing pattern of behaviour has subsequently been reinforced?

Her tenure as Chair of the Historic Places Trust is stated to expire in July 2013.

<http://www.mch.govt.nz/funding-nz-culture/agencies-we-fund/heritage/new-zealand-historic-places-trust>

It is almost September and is she still the Chair?

Have you been remiss in granting an eternal professional life to Kenderdine? If so, many would consider this corrupt.

Nonetheless she is moonlighting on the job and has set herself up as an environmental consultant.

She has brazenly lied about herself on her website claiming to have been an Alternate Environment Court Judge until 2011.

<http://skenderdine.net.nz/about/>

About

Shonagh Kenderdine LLB MA, Acc. M. LEADR

Shonagh Kenderdine was a Judge in the Planning Tribunal which evolved into the Environment Court from 1996-2006, and subsequently Alternate Environment Court Judge until 2011.

NB screen caps have been taken of all relevant links in this correspondence.

There are downstream issues arising from the corruption over Turitea sanctioned by your government as evidenced by this comment on the MRP sell off, dated 25 August.

“A well-informed market would have handled the risks better, thereby bringing greater reality to MRP's offer price and more confidence to investors. But the market was very poorly informed. Most of the analysts covering MRP were forbidden from publishing analysis between the time when its prospectus was filed until 40 days after the shares began trading. They were subject to this blackout period because they worked for investment banks hired by the Government to run the float.

This is a US rule, which applied here because the shares were offered in the US.”

<http://www.stuff.co.nz/business/opinion-analysis/9080126/Rod-Oram-A-switch-in-power-strategy>

This lack of information, I believe, was a premeditated move by the Government to inflate MRP's share price, consequently inflicting major financial damage on investors.

The closing price on Monday was \$2.18.

Do you believe that the failure to inform the market, despite assurances, that MRP's wind farms are literally right on major active fault lines is tantamount to fraud?

Turitea is on the Wellington and Northern Ohariu faults and Puketoi is on the northern extension of the Wairarapa fault.

Would this have led to a lower IPO share price had the risk been publicly known?

Contact Energy has just suspended the Waitahora wind farm on the Puketoi Ranges.

“The Waitahora Wind Farm development in Tararua is unlikely to be under way before its resource consents expire at the end of 2015.

Contact Energy has announced it will be concentrating on geothermal projects, putting wind generation on the backburner.”

<http://www.stuff.co.nz/manawatu-standard/news/9073112/Waitahora-wind-farm-project-put-on-hold>

There are additional unspoken reasons this wind farm has to all intents and purposes been cancelled.

1. Waitahora, like Puketoi, is right on the Waewaepa fault, the northern extension of the Wairarapa Fault.
2. The connection to the grid through Turitea is highly doubtful as the Turitea consent is fraudulent and corrupt, although a connection can be made through the existing Tararua 3 wind farm.

Explain why Kenderdine's chairing of the Turitea Board has not undermined NZ's status as being corruption free?

Why is protection being offered to Kenderdine when she was an ordinary citizen without judicial immunity and privilege when the Turitea decision was released?

What is the threshold of 'government sanctioned corruption' and has it been crossed?

Paul Stichbury

paulstichbury@gmail.com